

## PRIMER NOTE

# Tetranucleotide microsatellites from the loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*)

BRIAN M. SHAMBLIN,\* BRANT C. FAIRCLOTH,\* MARK DODD,† ALICIA WOOD-JONES,\* STEVEN B. CASTLEBERRY,\* JOHN P. CARROLL\* and C. JOSEPH NAIRN\*

\*D. B. Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources, The University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA, †Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Wildlife Resources Division, 1 Conservation Way, Brunswick, GA 31520, USA

## Abstract

We describe primers and polymerase chain reaction conditions to amplify 15 tetranucleotide microsatellite loci from the loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*). The primers were tested on 30 individuals that nested along the Georgia, USA coast. The primer pairs developed in this study yielded an average of 13.9 alleles per locus (range of 10–21), an average observed heterozygosity of 0.91 (range 0.79–1.00), and an average polymorphic information content of 0.88 (range 0.84–0.92).

*Keywords:* *Caretta caretta*, loggerhead turtle, microsatellites, primers, sea turtle, SSRs, tetranucleotide repeats

Received 8 November 2006; revision accepted 11 December 2006

The threatened loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) occurs globally in warm temperate and tropical ocean basins. Although extensive mitochondrial analyses aimed at characterizing phylogeography and the resolution of management units within this species have been conducted (Bowen *et al.* 1993, 1994; Encalada *et al.* 1998), complementary microsatellite analyses have received comparatively less attention until recently (Bowen *et al.* 2005). Despite demonstrated conservation of several microsatellite loci across all genera of extant cheloniid turtles (Fitzsimmons *et al.* 1995), there remains a need for additional, highly polymorphic loci to address questions of population genetics. We describe the development of a panel of species-specific, tetranucleotide microsatellite markers that will facilitate, in conjunction with previously developed dinucleotide microsatellite markers, the study of the relationship between kinship and spatial distribution in the loggerhead sea turtle.

We extracted DNA from blood obtained from two nesting (female) loggerheads using the DNazol reagent (Invitrogen) followed by a phenol–chloroform extraction to remove remaining impurities. We digested DNA with *RsaI* and *BstUI* (New England Biolabs) and double-enriched for (AAAG)<sub>6</sub> (ACCT)<sub>6</sub> (ACTC)<sub>6</sub> (AATC)<sub>6</sub> (ACAG)<sub>6</sub> (ACTG)<sub>6</sub>

(AAAC)<sub>6</sub> (AATG)<sub>6</sub> (AGAT)<sub>8</sub> (AACT)<sub>8</sub> (AAGT)<sub>8</sub> (AAAT)<sub>8</sub> and (ACAT)<sub>8</sub> (Glenn & Schable 2005). We ligated enriched product for tetranucleotide repeats into (polymerase chain reaction) PCR 2.1-TOPO vector, which was used to transform OneShot Top 10 Chemically Competent *Escherichia coli* cells (TOPO TA cloning kit, Invitrogen). We screened 672 colonies for inserts using the β-galactosidase gene and sequenced 672 positive (white) colony PCR products using BigDye (version 3.1, PE Applied Biosystems) chemistry and an ABI 3730 sequencer. Sequences were assembled and edited in SEQUENCHER 4.2 (Gene Codes) and exported to EPHEMERIS 1.0 (available at [http://www.uga.edu/srel/DNA\\_Laboratory/dnacomputer\\_programs.htm](http://www.uga.edu/srel/DNA_Laboratory/dnacomputer_programs.htm)) to search for microsatellite repeats. We designed 90 primer pairs for 200 contigs containing unique microsatellite repeats and added an M13-reverse or CAG tag to the 5' end of one of each primer pair using OLIGO 4.0 (Molecular Biology Insights) to facilitate fluorescent size detection using ABI sequencers (Boutin-Ganache *et al.* 2001; Schable *et al.* 2002). Either a CAG or an M13-reverse tag was chosen for either the forward or reverse primer on the basis of minimizing self-complementarity, pair complementarity, and secondary structure of each primer or primer pair. We added GTTT 'pig tails' to the 5' end of primers lacking either CAG or M13-reverse tag to facilitate the nontemplated addition of adenosine by *Taq* polymerase (Brownstein *et al.* 1996). We selected 48 primer pairs for testing based

Correspondence: Brian M. Shamblin, Fax: (706) 542-8356; E-mail: brianshm@uga.edu

on microsatellite repeat number and primer characteristics used in choosing tags.

We optimized primer pairs using DNA samples obtained from loggerheads nesting on several Georgia barrier islands. DNA was extracted from samples using the DNeasy Kit (QIAGEN). PCR amplifications were performed in 10 µL volumes using GeneAmp PCR System 9700 thermal cyclers (PE Applied Biosystems). Final concentrations for optimizing reactions were 10 mM Tris pH 8.4, 50 mM KCl, 0.5 µM 'pigtailed' primer, 0.05 µM CAG or M13-reverse tagged primer (CAG or M13-reverse + primer), 0.45 µM dye-labelled tag (HEX or FAM + CAG or M13-reverse), 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mM dNTPs, 0.5 U *Taq* DNA polymerase, and 50 ng DNA. M13 and CAG universal primers were labelled with FAM or HEX fluorescent dyes. Reactions were optimized with six individuals using two touchdown thermal cycling programs (Don *et al.* 1991), each encompassing a 10.5 °C span of annealing temperatures (ranges: 60–49.5 °C, 65.0–54.5 °C). Cycling parameters were: 21 cycles of 95 °C for 20 s; highest annealing temperature for 20 s at –0.5 °C per annealing cycle; and 72 °C for 30 s, followed by 14 cycles of 95 °C for 20 s; 49.5 °C or 54.5 °C, respectively, for 30 s; 72 °C for 30 s; and a final extension period of 10 min at 72 °C.

PCR products were checked for amplification and scored using an ABI 3730 sequencer with GENESCAN Rox500 fluorescent size standard (PE Applied Biosystems). Results were analysed using GENEMAPPER software (PE Applied Biosystems) and optimal touchdown cycling schemes were identified. Following optimization, 24 additional individuals were genotyped. We calculated observed and expected heterozygosities and polymorphic information content for each locus using CERVUS 2.0 (Marshall *et al.* 1998). GENEPOP 3.4 (Raymond & Rousset 1995) was used to test for Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium and genotypic linkage disequilibrium. We conducted a posteriori sequential Bonferroni correction (Rice 1989).

Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of 15 primer pairs developed from the loggerhead sea turtle. The number of alleles per locus ranges from 10 to 21, averaging 13.9. Total exclusionary power with both parents unknown is 1.000000. No deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium or significant linkage disequilibrium were detected after sequential Bonferroni correction.

## Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Georgia Department of Natural Resources and the Daniel B. Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources. Handling of loggerheads was approved by the Georgia Department of Natural Resources and the UGA Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (approval number: A2006-10002-0). We thank Kristina Williams, Michael Frick, Debra Barnard-Keinath, Jacob Tuttle, Jason Scott, Catherine Arning, and Rebecca Bell for providing samples.

**Table 1** Characterization of 15 primer pairs amplifying microsatellite loci from loggerhead sea turtles (*Caretta caretta*) collected along the Georgia, USA coast

Locus	Primer sequence (5'–3')	GenBank Accession no.	Dye	Annealing start temp. (°C)	Repeats in cloned allele	Clone size (bp)	N	A	Size range (bp)	H <sub>O</sub>	H <sub>E</sub>	P <sub>HW</sub>	PIC
Cc1B03 F	<b>CAGTCGGCGT</b> <u>CATCATCAAT</u> <u>FAGCT</u> CAGTCATAGGT	DQ917761	FAM	60	(ATCT) <sub>15</sub>	304	30	13	264–314	0.87	0.87	0.30	0.84
Cc1B03 R	GTTTACGACACATAGGGAGTG												
Cc1F01 F	<b>CAGTCGGCGT</b> <u>CATCACT</u> <u>GTAAG</u> GCTCTAAAACATAAT	DQ917762	FAM	60	(ATCT) <sub>15</sub>	332	30	13	308–360	0.93	0.90	0.73	0.88
Cc1F01 R	GTTTAACTGGGACGATAGGATAAA												
Cc1G02 F	<b>GGAAA</b> <u>CAGCTATGCA</u> <u>CCATAGGTG</u> CCTAAACATTTGATAGT	DQ917763	FAM	60	(ATCT) <sub>9</sub>	286	30	14	262–322	0.90	0.94	0.15	0.92
Cc1G02 R	GTTTAACTGTGCTCTTTTCGTGTAAT												
Cc1G03 F	<b>CAGTCGGCGT</b> <u>CATCA</u> <u>AGGAATGT</u> GCAGAAATGATGT	DQ917764	FAM	60	(AGAT) <sub>20</sub>	324	30	12	276–328	0.93	0.91	0.91	0.89
Cc1G03 R	GTTTAAACGACATGATCTTAAAGTAA												
Cc1H11 F	<b>GGAAA</b> <u>CAGCTATGACCA</u> <u>TAACTT</u> TGACTATCTCCCTAC	DQ917765	HEX	60	(ATCT) <sub>16</sub>	249	29	12	215–263	0.93	0.89	0.33	0.86
Cc1H11 R	GTTTGACGTGATGCCCTGGTGAG												

Table 1 Continued

Locus	Primer sequence (5'–3')	GenBank Accession no.	Dye	Annealing start temp. (°C)	Repeats in cloned allele	Clone size (bp)	<i>N</i>	<i>A</i>	Size range (bp)	<i>H<sub>O</sub></i>	<i>H<sub>E</sub></i>	<i>P<sub>HW</sub></i>	PIC
Cc2G10 F	<b>CAGTCGGGCGTCATCA</b> GTGGCAAG GTCAAATACAG	DQ917766	HEX	60	(CTTT) <sub>16</sub>	301	30	21	261–326	0.90	0.94	0.89	0.92
Cc2G10 R	GTTTGCCCTTATTTGGTCACAC												
Cc2H12 F	<b>CAGTCGGGCGTCATCA</b> TCTTCAGG AGTTTTTGACTTG	DQ917767	FAM	60	(AAAG) <sub>8</sub> ... (AGGC) <sub>9</sub>	325	30	13	294–357	0.97	0.88	0.90	0.85
Cc2H12R	GTTTCCACACCCCTGTTTCAGA												
Cc5C08 F	GTTTCTTTGATGGTTTTCTGTATC	DQ917768	FAM	60	(CTTT) <sub>18</sub>	325	30	13	281–333	0.93	0.93	0.89	0.90
Cc5C08 R	<b>CAGTCGGGCGTCAT</b> CAGTCTTCA GGGTATCGTGTAAT												
Cc5F01 F	GTTTAAAGATTTGAGATGTTGTATG	DQ917769	HEX	60	(CTTT) <sub>13</sub>	161	30	21	137–191	0.93	0.93	0.20	0.91
Cc5F01 R	<b>CAGTCGGGCGTCATCA</b> CCAGTTGTC TTTCTCCAGTG												
Cc5H07 F	<b>CAGTCGGGCGTCATCA</b> AAGGAAGG AGAGGCTTATTAC	DQ917770	FAM	60	(CTTT) <sub>16</sub>	239	29	11	215–263	1.00	0.89	0.20	0.86
Cc5H07 R	GTTTGGGCAATGAGACTGGAACATA												
Cc7B07 F	GTTTATAATGTTGGTGAGCAATATAG	DQ917771	HEX	65	(ATCT) <sub>18</sub>	266	27	16	223–299	0.96	0.92	0.65	0.89
Cc7B07 R	<b>CAGTCGGGCGTCATCA</b> GGAGTTAA ACCAGGCACAGT												
Cc7C04 F	GTTTCTTAACCAACGGAGAAACA	DQ917772	HEX	60	(AGAT) <sub>11</sub>	226	26	14	202–250	0.96	0.90	0.94	0.87
Cc7C04 R	<b>CAGTCGGGCGTCATCA</b> CTCCTTCAG AAGTCTTCACAT												
Cc7E11 F	GTTTGAAGAGCTGACCCCATATAG	DQ917773	FAM	60	(AGAT) <sub>11</sub>	320	29	11	304–360	0.79	0.87	0.05	0.84
Cc7E11 R	<b>GGAAACAGCTATGACCAT</b> AAACAC AGAAATGAGGGATAG												
Cc7G11 F	GTTTCTCAGCATGAAAGTGTAATAC	DQ917774	FAM	60	(AGAT) <sub>14</sub>	310	30	11	282–322	0.83	0.89	0.61	0.86
Cc7G11 R	<b>CAGTCGGGCGTCATCA</b> TGGATTGT TTCAGTAATAG												
Cc8E07 F	GTTTAGCACTGGGTTGTGTGATTA	DQ917775	FAM	60	(CTTT) <sub>12</sub>	285	30	12	273–321	0.80	0.89	0.11	0.86
Cc8E07 R	<b>GGAAACAGCTATGACCAT</b> CCACC ATTCTGATTTGTAG												

Sequences used to introduce sites for the universal primer are in bold italics.

Underlined bases indicate sharing of nucleotides between CAG (5'-CAGTCGGGCGTCATCA-3') tag, M13R (5'-GGAAACAGCTATGACCAT-3') tag, or GTTT 'pigtail' and the locus-specific primer binding site.

Clone size refers to the predicted size of the PCR product amplified from the clone used to develop each locus; *N*, number of individuals genotyped at each locus; *A*, number of alleles; *H<sub>O</sub>*, observed heterozygosity; *H<sub>E</sub>*, expected heterozygosity; *P<sub>HW</sub>*, probability that genotype proportions conform to Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; and PIC, polymorphic information content.

## References

- Boutin-Ganache I, Raposo M, Raymond M, Deschepper CF (2001) M13-tailed primers improve the readability and usability of microsatellite analyses performed with two different allelizing methods. *BioTechniques*, **31**, 24–28.
- Bowen BW, Avise JC, Richardson JI *et al.* (1993) Population structure of loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) in the northwestern Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea. *Conservation Biology*, **7**, 834–844.
- Bowen BW, Bass AL, Soares L, Toonen RJ (2005) Conservation implications of complex population structure: lessons from the loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*). *Molecular Ecology*, **14**, 2389–2402.
- Bowen BW, Kamezaki N, Limpus CJ *et al.* (1994) Global phylogeography of loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*) as indicated by mitochondrial DNA haplotypes. *Evolution*, **48**, 1820–1828.
- Brownstein MJ, Carpenter JD, Smith JR (1996) Modulation of non-templated nucleotide addition by *Taq* polymerase: primer modifications that facilitate genotyping. *BioTechniques*, **20**, 1004–1010.
- Don RH, Cox PT, Wainwright BJ, Baker K, Mattick JS (1991) 'Touchdown' PCR to circumvent spurious priming during gene amplification. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **19**, 4008.
- Encalada SE, Bjørndal KA, Bolten AB *et al.* (1998) Population structure of loggerhead turtle (*Caretta caretta*) nesting colonies in the Atlantic and Mediterranean as inferred from mitochondrial DNA control region sequences. *Marine Biology*, **130**, 567–575.
- Fitzsimmons NN, Moritz C, Moore SS (1995) Conservation and dynamics of microsatellite loci over 300 million years of marine turtle evolution. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **12**, 432–440.
- Glenn TC, Schable NA (2005) Isolating microsatellite DNA loci. In: *Methods in Enzymology 395, Molecular Evolution: Producing the Biochemical Data, Part B* (eds Zimmer EA, Roalson EH). Academic Press, San Diego, California.
- Marshall TC, Slate J, Kruuk LEB, Pemberton JM (1998) Statistical confidence for likelihood-based paternity inference in natural populations. *Molecular Ecology*, **7**, 639–655.
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) GENEPOP (Version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity*, **86**, 248–249.
- Rice WR (1989) Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution*, **43**, 223–225.
- Schable NA, Fischer RU, Glenn TC (2002) Tetranucleotide microsatellite DNA loci from the dollar sunfish (*Lepomis marginatus*). *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **2**, 509–511.